

Multipliers spaces and pseudo-differential operators

By Sadek Gala

Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne
Département de mathématiques
Bd F. Mitterrand. 91025 Evry Cedex. France
Sadek.Gala@maths.univ-evry.fr

1st February 2008

Abstract

Let $\sigma(x, \xi)$ be a sufficiently regular function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. The pseudo-differential operator with symbol σ is defined on the Schwartz class by the formula :

$$f \rightarrow \sigma f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi,$$

where $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$ is the Fourier transform of f .

In this paper, we shall consider the regularity of the following type :

- (a) $|\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq A_\alpha (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|},$
- (b) $|\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x + y, \xi) - \partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq A_\alpha \omega(|y|) (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|},$

($\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$) where ω is suitable positive function and we prove boundedness results for pseudo-differential operators on multipliers spaces $X^r = \mathcal{M}(H^r \rightarrow L^2)$ whose symbol $\sigma(x, \xi)$ satisfies the regularity condition on x .

1 Introduction

A pseudo-differential operator σ with symbol $\sigma(x, \xi)$, defined initially on the Schwartz class of testing functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is given by

$$f \rightarrow \sigma f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi, \quad (1)$$

with

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx.$$

being the Fourier transform of f .

We shall consider the standard symbol class, denoted by $\mathbf{S}^m$, which is the most common and useful of the general symbol classes. A function σ belongs to $\mathbf{S}^m$ (and is said to be of order m) if $\sigma(x, \xi)$ is a C^∞ function of $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ and satisfies the differential inequalities

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi) \right| \leq A_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|)^{m - |\alpha|},$$

for all multi-indices α and β .

Before we state our result, we need to make precise the definition of the pseudo-differential operator (1) and the class of symbols that is used.

We call the modulus of continuity every function $\omega : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ which is continuous, increasing, concave and such that $\omega(0) = 0$.

Definition 1.1 *Letting ω be a modulus of continuity, we denote $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \Sigma_\omega$, if $\sigma(x, \xi) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the continuous function and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, there exists a constant C_α for which we have*

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq A_\alpha (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}, \quad (2)$$

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x + y, \xi) - \partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi)| \leq A_\alpha \omega(|y|) (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}. \quad (3)$$

Definition 1.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$. A measurable function f is said to belong to the weighted L^p , $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, w dx)$, with weight function w , if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx < \infty.$$

We denote the weighted L^p norm by

$$\|f\|_{L_w^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, a positive weight function w is said to be in the class A_p if w is locally integrable and satisfies the condition

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-\frac{1}{(p-1)}}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty, \quad (4)$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Our result is stated as follows :

Theorem 1.3 *If the modulus of continuity ω satisfies the condition $j^2 \omega(2^{-j}) < C$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then any pseudo-differential operator σ with symbol $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \sum_\omega$ has a bounded extension to all of $X^r = \mathcal{M}(H^r \rightarrow L^2)$.*

To prove this theorem, we first introduce some notations. Let Q denote any cube in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and write $|Q|$ for the Lebesgue measure of Q . For a locally integrable function f , let f_Q denote the mean value of f over Q , that is

$$f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(x) dx.$$

We list the several operators we use later :

- (a) The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, Mf , for a locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum ranges over all cubes Q containing x .

- (b) Modified maximal function of f :

$$M_\gamma f(x) = \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)|^\gamma dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}},$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing x .

- (c) Dyadic maximal function of f :

$$f^*(x) = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy$$

where the supremum is taken over all dyadic cubes Q , with sides parallel to the axes containing x .

- (d)

$$f^\#(x) = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - f_Q| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing x .

Lemma 1.4 (M, Lemma 2.1) *Let $w \in A_p$, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, wdx)$, $1 < p < \infty$.*

We shall make use of this fact later.

Lemma 1.5 (CM, Theorem 9) *Let σ be a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \sum_\omega$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent :*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [\omega(2^{-j})]^2 < +\infty, \quad (5)$$

$$\text{For all } p, 1 < p < \infty, \sigma \text{ is bounded on } L^p(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (6)$$

Definition 1.6 (CM, p.41) *We say that $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \sum_\omega$ is a reduced symbol if there exist a constant $C_1 > 0$, a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a sequence m_j , $j \geq 0$ of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(x) \phi(2^{-j}\xi), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\|m_j\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 \quad (8)$$

$$\|m_j(x+y) - m_j(x)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 \omega(|y|) \quad (9)$$

$$\phi \text{ is supported in } \frac{1}{3} \leq |\xi| \leq 3 \quad (10)$$

and

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \phi(\xi)| \leq C_1 \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq d. \quad (11)$$

Lemma 1.7 (CM, Proposition 5, p.46) *For every symbol $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \sum_\omega$, we can find a sequence of reduced symbols $\sigma_k(x, \xi)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, such that*

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \tau(x, \xi) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(1 + |k|^2\right)^{-d} \sigma_k(x, \xi)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\alpha \tau(x, \xi)| &\leq C_\alpha, \\ \tau(x, \xi) &= 0 \quad \text{if } |\xi| \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.8 (St1, p.63) *Let ϕ be a radial, decreasing, positive and integrable function. Set $\phi_t(x) = t^{-d} \phi(t^{-1}x)$. Then*

$$\sup_{t>0} |\phi_t * f(x)| \leq CM f(x) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Lemma 1.9 (M, Lemma 2.9) *Let ϕ be a function in definition 1.6. Then for $t \geq 0$, there is a constant C_t such that the inequality*

$$|y|^t \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \right| \leq C_t 2^{j(d-t)}$$

holds for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and every integer $j \geq 0$.

Lemma 1.10 (M, Lemma 2.7) *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|f^*\|_{L_w^2} \leq C \|f^\# \|_{L_w^2} \text{ for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, wdx) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

2 An $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, wdx)$ theorem

After these preliminaries, we state the first main result which constitutes the main part of the proof of theorem 1.3.

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose $1 < \gamma < \infty$ and let σ be a pseudo-differential operator with symbol $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \Sigma_\omega$. If the modulus of continuity ω satisfies the condition*

$$j^2 \omega(2^{-j}) < C \quad \text{for all } j \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that the pointwise estimate

$$(\sigma f)^\#(x_0) \leq CM_\gamma f(x_0)$$

holds for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. The proof is based on the idea of the proof of theorem 2.8 in [M].

Given $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we let Q be a cube containing x_0 , with center x' and diameter D . Fix a function $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$, with $\eta(x) = 1$ for $|x - x'| \leq 2D$, and $\eta(x) = 0$ for $|x - x'| \geq 3D$. Then, for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\sigma f(x) - (\sigma f)_Q| dx &\leq \frac{2}{|Q|} \int_Q |\sigma(\eta f)(x)| dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\sigma((1 - \eta)f)(x) - [\sigma((1 - \eta)f)]_Q| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Letting Q' be the cube centered at x' , with sides parallel to those of Q and with diameter $4D$. Since the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on $L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 < \gamma < \infty$, we see that the first term is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{|Q|} \int_Q |\sigma(\eta f)(x)| dx &\leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\sigma(\eta f)(x)|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(\eta f)(x)|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{|Q'|} \int_{Q'} |f(x)|^\gamma dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq C_\gamma M_\gamma f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with the second term, we for simplicity write f for $(1 - \eta) f$, and we assume that f has the support in the set $\{x : |x - x'| \geq 2D\}$.

We begin by decomposing the symbol $\sigma(x, \xi)$ into the sum of simpler symbols by making use of Lemma 1.7. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma f)(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau(x, \xi) \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(1 + |k|^2\right)^{-d} \sigma_k(x, \xi) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} d\xi dy \\ &= Tf(x) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(1 + |k|^2\right)^{-d} S_k f(x). \end{aligned}$$

T is a pseudo-differential operator whose symbol is $\tau(x, \xi)$; the ξ -support of this symbol is contained in the set $\{\xi : |\xi| \leq 1\}$, and $\tau(x, \xi)$ has the property that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \tau(x, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

Thus, we can write

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) K(x, x - y) dy,$$

where we have set

$$K(x, y) = K(x, x - y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau(x, \xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi.$$

Note that $K(x, y)$ has the property that

$$|K(x, y)| \leq C_m (1 + |y|)^{-m} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where m is any integer greater than d , and C_m is a constant independent of x . In fact more generally we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y^\alpha \partial_y^\beta K(x, y)| &= A_{\alpha, \beta} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tau(x, \xi) \xi^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha e^{2\pi i y \xi} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq A_{\alpha, \beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_\xi^\alpha [\tau(x, \xi) \xi^\beta]| d\xi \\ &\leq A_{\alpha, \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

with $A_{\alpha,\beta}$ independent of x and y . Then by lemma 1.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Tf(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)| |K(x, x-y)| dy \\ &\leq C_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)| (1 + |x-y|)^{-m} dy \\ &\leq C_m Mf(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(Tf)^\#(x_0) \leq C_m M_\gamma f(x),$$

and hence

$$(\sigma f)^\#(x_0) \leq CM_\gamma f(x_0) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left(1 + |k|^2\right)^{-d} (S_k f)^\#(x_0).$$

Therefore, our next task is to examine the operator S_k . We note that $\sigma_k(x, \xi)$ satisfies the condition (7) to (11) in definition 1.6 with $m_{j,k}$, ϕ_k in place of m_j , ϕ respectively, where C 's are independent of k and also of j . Then for every k ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_k f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_{j,k}(x) \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} d\xi dy \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_{j,k} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate $(S_k f)^\#(x_0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |A_{j,k} f(x) - (A_{j,k} f)_Q| dx &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q [A_{j,k} f(x) - (A_{j,k} f)(z)] dz \right| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) [m_{j,k}(x) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} - m_{j,k}(z) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi}] d\xi dy dz \right| dx. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

To estimate this quantity, we consider two cases :

Case 1. $2^j D \geq 1$. The last quantity is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j} \xi) m_{j,k}(x) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dy dx \\
& \leq C \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_Q \frac{2^{hd}}{|Q_h|} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{d+1}} |x-y|^{d+1} \\
& \quad \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j} \xi) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} d\xi \right| |m_{j,k}(x)| dy dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where Q_h is the cube with center x' with sides parallel to those of Q and with diameter $2^{h+2}D$. The last term is bounded by

$$C \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} D^d 2^{hd} (2^h D)^{-d-1} 2^{-j} \frac{1}{|Q_h|} \int_{Q_h} |f(y)| dy$$

by lemma 1.9 with $t = d + 1$, and the condition (8) of $m_{j,k}$

$$C \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} D^d 2^{hd} (2^h D)^{-d-1} 2^{-j} \frac{1}{|Q_h|} \int_{Q_h} |f(y)| dy \leq C (2^j D)^{-1} Mf(x_0).$$

Case 2. $2^j D < 1$. In this case, (13) is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \\
& \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j} \xi) \left[m_{j,k}(x) e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} - m_{j,k}(z) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} + m_{j,k}(z) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} - m_{j,k}(z) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} \right] d\xi \right| dy dz dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \\
& \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j} \xi) \left[e^{2\pi i(x-y)\xi} - e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} \right] m_{j,k}(x) d\xi \right| dy dz dx + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \\
& \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j} \xi) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} [m_{j,k}(x) - m_{j,k}(z)] d\xi \right| dy dz dx \\
& = A + B.
\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate A .

$$A \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) \sum_{p=1}^d (x_p - z_p) \int_0^1 2\pi i \xi_p e^{2\pi i(x(t)-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dy dz |m_{j,k}(x)| dx$$

where $x(t) = z + t(x - z)$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} \frac{|f(y)|}{|y-x'|^{d+1/2}} \sum_{p=1}^d |x_p - z_p| \int_0^1 |x(t) - y|^{d+1/2} \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) 2\pi i \xi_p e^{2\pi i(x(t)-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dt dy dz |m_{j,k}(x)| dx.$$

The integral with respect to ξ is handled just as in the proof of Lemma 1.9 with $t = d + \frac{1}{2}$ and we see that the last member is not greater than

$$C \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} (2^h D)^d (2^h D)^{-d-1/2} D 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{1}{|Q_h|} \int_{Q_h} |f(y)| dy \leq C (2^j D)^{\frac{1}{2}} Mf(x_0).$$

Next, we estimate B .

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dy [m_{j,k}(x) - m_{j,k}(z)] dz dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^N \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dy [m_{j,k}(x) - m_{j,k}(z)] dz dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} |f(y)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dy [m_{j,k}(x) - m_{j,k}(z)] dz dx \\ &= B_1 + B_2, \end{aligned}$$

where N is the integer which satisfies $2^N D < 1 \leq 2^{N+1} D$.

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=1}^N \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} \frac{|f(y)|}{|z-y|^d} |z-y|^d \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dy [m_{j,k}(x) - m_{j,k}(z)] dz dx \\
&\leq C' \sum_{h=1}^N (2^h D)^d (2^h D)^{-d} \omega(D) \frac{1}{|Q_h|} \int_{Q_h} |f(y)| dy
\end{aligned}$$

by lemma 1.9 with $t = d$ and the condition (14) of $m_{j,k}$

$$B_1 \leq CN \omega(2^{-N}) Mf(x_0).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} \int_{2^h D \leq |y-x'| \leq 2^{h+1} D} \frac{|f(y)|}{|z-y|^d} |z-y|^d \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi_k(2^{-j}\xi) e^{2\pi i(z-y)\xi} d\xi \right| dy [m_{j,k}(x) - m_{j,k}(z)] dz dx \\
&\leq C'' \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} (2^h D)^d (2^h D)^{-d-1} 2^{-j} \omega(D) \frac{1}{|Q_h|} \int_{Q_h} |f(y)| dy
\end{aligned}$$

by lemma 1.9 with $t = d + 1$ and the condition (14) of $m_{j,k}$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &\leq C'' \sum_{h=N+1}^{\infty} (2^h D)^{-1} 2^{-j} \omega(1) Mf(x_0) \\
&\leq C'' 2^{-j} Mf(x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B &\leq B_1 + B_2 \leq C' N \omega(2^{-N}) Mf(x_0) + C'' 2^{-j} Mf(x_0) \\
&\leq \{C' N \omega(2^{-N}) + C'' 2^{-j}\} Mf(x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting two cases together, we have shown that if Q is any cube containing x_0 , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(S_k f)^\neq(x_0) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A_{j,k} f)^\neq(x) \\
&\leq \left\{ C \sum_{2^j D \geq 1} (2^j D)^{-1} + C' \sum_{2^j D < 1} (2^j D)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{2^j D < 1} (C' N \omega(2^{-N}) + C'' 2^{-j}) \right\} Mf(x_0) \\
&\leq \{C + N^2 \omega(2^{-N})\} Mf(x_0) \leq CMf(x_0) \leq CM_\gamma f(x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

We thus find that

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma f)^\neq(x_0) &\leq CM_\gamma f(x_0) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + |k|^2)^{-d} CM_\gamma f(x_0) \\ &\leq CM_\gamma f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have shown that if Q is any cube containing x_0 , then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\sigma f(x) - (\sigma f)_Q| dx \\ &\leq (\sigma f)^\neq(x_0) + [T((1 - \eta)f)]^\neq(x_0) + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + |k|^2)^{-d} [S_k((1 - \eta)f)]^\neq(x_0) \\ &\leq CM_\gamma f(x_0) + CM_\gamma((1 - \eta)f)(x_0) \\ &\leq CM_\gamma f(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C is independent of Q , f and x_0 . When we take the supremum of the left side over all cubes Q containing x_0 , we finally obtain the desired inequality :

$$(\sigma f)^\neq(x_0) \leq CM_\gamma f(x_0)$$

for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We are ready to prove a basic result about pseudo-differential operators.

Theorem 2.2 *If $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and if the modulus of continuity ω satisfies the condition $j^2 \omega(2^{-j}) < C$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then any pseudo-differential operator σ with symbol $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \sum_\omega$, initially defined on $\mathcal{S}$, extends to a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, wdx)$ to itself.*

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that

$$\|\sigma f\|_{L_w^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L_w^2}, \quad \text{whenever } f \in \mathcal{S},$$

with C independent of f .

Proof. We prove this in the same way as was used by [M, theorem 2.12]. If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then since $\sigma f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, wdx) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma f\|_{L_w^2} &\leq \|(\sigma f)^*\|_{L_w^2} \leq C \|(\sigma f)^\neq\|_{L_w^2} \\ &\leq C \|M_\gamma f\|_{L_w^2}, \text{ if } 1 < \gamma < \infty \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L_w^2}, \text{ if } 1 < \gamma < 2. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality is easy, since

$$|\sigma f(x)| \leq (\sigma f)^*(x) \quad \text{for every } x.$$

Since $\sigma f \in \mathcal{S}$, $\sigma f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, w dx) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$; so we can apply lemma 1.10 to prove the second inequality. The third inequality is theorem 2.1, while the last inequality is proved like this :

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\gamma f\|_{L_w^2} &= \left\| [M|f|^\gamma]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \right\|_{L_w^2} = \left(\int [M(|f|^\gamma)]^\frac{2}{\gamma} w(x) dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int |f|^2 w(x) dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \text{ since } \gamma < 2 \\ &= C \|f\|_{L_w^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of lemma 1.4, we can extend σ to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, w dx)$.

3 Pointwise multipliers X^r

In this section, we give a description of the multiplier space X^r introduced recently by P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset in his work [Lem]. The space X^r of pointwise multipliers which map L^2 into H^{-r} is defined in the following way

Definition 3.1 For $0 \leq r < \frac{d}{2}$, we define the space $X^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the space of functions, which are locally square integrable on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and such that pointwise multiplication with these functions maps boundedly $H^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e.,

$$X^r = \{f \in L_{loc}^2 : \forall g \in H^r \quad fg \in L^2\}$$

where we denote by $H^r(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the completion of the space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{H^r} = \left\| (1 - \Delta)^\frac{r}{2} u \right\|_{L^2}$.

The norm of X^r is given by the operator norm of pointwise multiplication :

$$\|f\|_{X^r} = \sup_{\|g\|_{H^r} \leq 1} \|fg\|_{L^2}$$

We now turn to another way of introducing capacity.

Definition 3.2 (Capacitary measures and capacitary potentials) The Bessel capacity $\text{cap}(e; H^r)$ of a compact set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined by [AH]

$$\text{cap}(e; H^r) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{H^r}^2 : u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), u \geq 1 \text{ sur } e \right\}$$

We shall show the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 There is a positive constant C depending only on d such that

$$\int_0^\infty \text{cap}(A_t, H^r) d(t^2) \leq C \|u\|_{H^r}^2$$

where

$$A_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : u(x) \geq t\} \text{ et } u = G_r * f / f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for any nonnegative measurable function f .

This theorem was established first by Hansson [Han]. Later Maz'ya ([Maz], th.8.2.3) and Adams ([Ad], th 1.6). Maz'ya and Adams used the joint measurability of $G_r * \mu_t$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ where μ_t is the capacity measure for the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : u(x) \geq t\}$. However, the measurability does not seem to be obvious. We shall give an elementary proof which gets around this difficulty.

An easy corollary to theorem 3.3, we obtain the following characterization of Carleson types measures.

Corollary 3.4 *For a nonnegative measure μ , the following assertions are equivalent :*

1. *For any $f \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$\int (G_r * f)^2 d\mu \leq C_1 \int f^2 dx$$

2. *For any compact set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we have*

$$\mu(e) \leq C_2 \text{cap}(e)$$

Moreover, we have the following characterization :

$$\int u^2 d\mu \leq C \|u\|_{H^r}^2 \Leftrightarrow \mu(e) \leq C_2 \text{cap}(e)$$

Remark 1 Let μ nonnegative measure. The inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 d\mu \leq C \|u\|_{H^r}^2$$

for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called the trace inequality.

Before to prove this theorem 3.3, we prove the corollary 3.4 (and thus the theorem 3.3) gives the characterization of the multipliers spaces.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). This part can be proved without the capacity inequality. Let e be a compact set. Take $f \in L_+^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $G_r * f \geq 1$ on e . Then

$$\mu(e) \leq \int (G_r * f)^2 d\mu \leq C_1 \int f^2 dx$$

Taking the infimum with respect to f , we obtain

$$\mu(e) \leq C_1 \text{cap}(e)$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). By the capacity, we have

$$\mu(e) \leq C_2 \text{cap}(e)$$

for every Borel set e . Let $f \in L^2_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and apply the above inequality to A_t :

$$A_t = \{x : G_r * f(x) \geq t\}.$$

By theorem 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f^2 dx &\geq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \text{cap}(A_t, H^r) d(t^2) \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \mu(A_t) d(t^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \int (G_r * f)^2 d\mu \end{aligned}$$

To proof theorem 3.3, we will need several lemmas.

Lemma 3.5 *If $a_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j \right)^2 \leq 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i \left(\sum_{j=i}^\infty a_j \right)$$

The proof is immediat.

Lemma 3.6 *Suppose μ_j are measures function such that*

$$G_r * (G_r * \mu_j) \leq 1 \text{ on } \text{supp}(\mu_j) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^j G_r * \mu_j)^2 dx \leq c \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j} \|\mu_j\|$$

Proof. Apply the equilibrium potential of μ_j

$$G_r * (G_r * \mu_j) \leq K \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^j G_r * \mu_j)^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^i G_r * \mu_i) \sum_{j=i}^{+\infty} (2^j G_r * \mu_j) dx \\ &= 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \sum_{i=-\infty}^j 2^i \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G_r * G_r * \mu_i) d\mu_j \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \sum_{i=-\infty}^j 2^i M \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\mu_j \\ &= c \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j} \|\mu_j\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^j G_r * \mu_j)^2 dx \leq c \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j} \|\mu_j\|.$$

The lemma follows.

Lemma 3.7 *Let f be a nonnegative continuous function of compact support and e a Borel set. Let*

$$A_j = \{x \in e : u(x) \geq 2^j\}$$

and let μ_j be the capacitary measure for A_j , i.e.,

1. $\text{supp}(\mu_j) \subset \overline{A_j}$,
2. $G_r * (G_r * \mu_i) \geq 1$, *p.p.* sur A_j
3. $G_r * (G_r * \mu_i) \leq 1$, sur $\text{supp}(\mu_j)$
4. $\|\mu_j\| = \text{cap}(A_j)$.

Then

$$\frac{3}{4} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j} \|\mu_j\| \leq \int_0^\infty \text{cap}(\{x : u(x) \geq t\}; H^r) d(t^2) \leq 3 \|f\|_{L^2} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (G_r * \mu_j) \right\|_{L^2}$$

Proof. By definition

$$\int_0^\infty \text{cap}(\{x : u(x) \geq t\}; H^r) d(t^2) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \text{cap}(\{x : u(x) \geq t\}; H^r) d(t^2)$$

Or

$$\left(2^{2(j+1)} - 2^{2j}\right) \text{cap}(A_{j+1}) \leq \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \text{cap}(\{x : u(x) \geq t\}; H^r) d(t^2) \leq \left(2^{2(j+1)} - 2^{2j}\right) \text{cap}(A_j)$$

The left hand side is equal to

$$2^{2(j+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \text{cap}(A_{j+1}) = \frac{3}{4} 2^{2(j+1)} \text{cap}(A_{j+1}) = \frac{3}{4} 2^{2(j+1)} \|\mu_{j+1}\|$$

which implies the first required inequality, i.e.,

$$\frac{3}{4} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j+2} \|\mu_{j+1}\| \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} \text{cap}(\{x : u(x) \geq t\}; H^r) d(t^2)$$

The right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2^{2(j+1)} - 2^{2j}\right) \text{cap}(A_j) &= 3 \times 2^{2j} \|\mu_j\| \leq 3 \times 2^{2j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{G_r * f}{2^j} d\mu_j \\ &= 3 \times 2^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(G_r * \mu_j) dx \end{aligned}$$

Adding this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \text{cap}(\{x : u(x) \geq t\}; H^r) d(t^2) &\leq 3 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(G_r * \mu_j) dx \\ &= 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (G_r * \mu_j) \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

Hence Hölder's inequality yields

$$\int_0^\infty \text{cap}(\{x : u(x) \geq t\}; H^r) d(t^2) \leq 3 \|f\|_{L^2} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (G_r * \mu_j) \right\|_{L^2}$$

We now are in position to prove the theorem 3.3. For the preceding corollary, we are immediately deduce the main result.

Proof. In view of the monotone convergence theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$I = \int_0^\infty \text{cap}(\{x \in B(0, R) : u(x) \geq t\}) d(t^2) \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

for $R > 0$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and C is independent of R and f . Since $G_r * f = u$ is bounded, it follows that $\{x : u(x) \geq t\} = \emptyset$ for large t , say $t > T$, so that

$$I \leq \text{cap}(B(0, R)) T^2 < \infty.$$

Lett μ_j be the capacitary measure for A_j

$$A_j = \{x \in B(0, R) : u(x) \geq 2^j\}$$

By lemma 3.6 with $A = B(0, R)$, we have

$$I \leq 3 \|f\|_{L^2} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (G_r * \mu_j) \right\|_{L^2} \quad (14)$$

Then lemma 3.6 yield

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (G_r * \mu_j) \right\|_{L^2} \leq c \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j} \|\mu_j\| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{lemma 3.6})$$

and

$$\frac{3}{4} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{2j} \|\mu_j\|_{L^1} \leq I.$$

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (G_r * \mu_j) \right\|_{L^2} \leq c I^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Since $I < \infty$, it follows from (14) that

$$I \leq c \|f\|_{L^2} I^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

i.e

$$I \leq c \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

Finally,

$$\int_0^\infty \text{cap}(\{x \in B(0, R) : u(x) \geq t\}) d(t^2) \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^2$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark 2 Under this conditions and the preceding results, let $\mu = f^2$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 f^2 dx \leq C \|u\|_{H^r}^2$$

and hence

$$\int_e f^2 dx \leq C_2 \text{cap}(e, H^r).$$

Then, we define the norme $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}(H^r \rightarrow L^2)}$ by [MS]

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}(H^r \rightarrow L^2)} \sim \sup_e \frac{\left(\int_e f^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{[\text{cap}(e, H^r)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (15)$$

We will need the following theorem, which shows that many operators of classical analysis are bounded in the space of multipliers.

Theorem 3.8 Let $0 \leq r < \frac{d}{2}$. Suppose that a function $h \in L_{loc}^2$ satisfies

$$\int_e |h(x)|^2 dx \leq C \text{cap}(e) \quad (16)$$

for all compact set e with $\text{cap}(e) = \text{cap}(e; H^r)$. Suppose that, for all weights $w \in A_1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)|^2 w dx \leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(x)|^2 w dx \quad (17)$$

with a constant K depending only on d and the constant A in the Muckenhoupt condition. Then

$$\int_e |g(x)|^2 dx \leq C \text{cap}(e)$$

for all compact sets e with $C = C(d, r, K)$.

To show this theorem, we need some facts from the equilibrium potential of a compact set e of positive capacity [AH]. The equilibrium potential of a measure $\mu \in M^+$ is defined by

$$P = P_e = J_r(J_r \mu).$$

Lemma 3.9 ([AH]) *For any compact set $e \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a measure $\mu = \mu_e$ such that*

- (i) $\text{supp } \mu \subset e$;
- (ii) $\mu(e) = \text{cap}(e, H^r)$;
- (iii) $\|J_r \mu\|_{L^2}^2 = \text{cap}(e, H^r)$;
- (iv) $P_e(x) \geq 1$ quasi-everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^d$;
- (v) $P_e(x) \leq K = K(d, r)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$;
- (vi) $\text{cap}\{P_e \geq t\} \leq At^{-1} \text{cap}(e, H^r)$ for all $t > 0$ and the constant is independent of e .

The measure μ_e associated with e is called the capacitary (equilibrium) measure of e . We will also need the asymptotics (Voir [AH])

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha(x) &\simeq |x|^{\alpha-d} \quad , \quad \text{if } d \geq 3, \quad |x| \rightarrow 0; \\ G_\alpha(x) &\simeq |x|^{\frac{\alpha-d}{2}} e^{-|x|} \quad , \quad \text{if } d \geq 2, \quad |x| \rightarrow +\infty; \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Sometimes, it will be more convenient to use a modified kernel

$$\tilde{G}_r(x) = \max(G_r(x), 1)$$

which does not have the exponential decay at ∞ . Obviously, both G_r and $\tilde{G}_r$ are positive nonincreasing radial kernels. Moreover, $\tilde{G}_r$ has the doubling property :

$$\tilde{G}_r(2s) \leq \tilde{G}_r(s) \leq c(d) \tilde{G}_r(2s)$$

The corresponding modified potential is defined by

$$\tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{G}_r * \mu(x)$$

The rest of the proof of theorem 3.8 is based on the following proposition :

Proposition 3.10 *Let $d \geq 2$ and let $0 < \delta < \frac{d}{d-2r}$. Then $\tilde{P}$ lies in the Muckenhoupt class A_1 on $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e.,*

$$M\left(\tilde{P}^\delta(x)\right) \leq C(\delta, d) \tilde{P}^\delta(x), \quad dx \text{ p.p.}$$

where M denotes the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and the corresponding A_1 -bound $C(\delta, d)$ depends only on d and δ .

Proof. Let $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a nonincreasing function which satisfies the doubling condition :

$$k(2s) \leq ck(s), \quad s > 0$$

It is easy to see that the radial weight $k(|x|) \in A_1$ if and only if

$$\int_0^R k^\delta(t) t^{d-1} dt \leq c R^d k(R), \quad R > 0 \quad (19)$$

Moreover, the A_1 -bound of k is bounded by a constant which depends only on C in the preceding estimate and the doubling constant c (see [St2]). It follows from that

$$\tilde{G}_r(s) \simeq |s|^{r-d} \quad \text{if } d \geq 3 \quad \text{for } 0 < s < 1$$

and

$$\tilde{G}_r(s) \simeq 1 \quad \text{for } s \geq 1$$

Hence, $k(|s|) = \tilde{G}_r^\delta(s)$ is a radial nonincreasing kernel with the doubling property. By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\tilde{G}_r^{\delta_1} \in A_1 \text{ implies } \tilde{G}_r^{\delta_2} \in A_1 \quad \text{if } \delta_1 \geq \delta_2$$

Clearly (19) holds if and only if $0 < \delta < \frac{d}{d-2r}$. Hence, without loss of generality, we assume $1 \leq \delta < \frac{d}{d-2r}$. Then by Minkowski's inequality and the A_1 -estimate for $\tilde{G}_r^\delta$ established above, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} M\left(\tilde{P}^\delta(x)\right) &\leq M\left(\left(\tilde{G}_r^\delta\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} * \mu(x)\right)^\delta \\ &\leq C(\delta, d) \left(\tilde{G}_r * \mu\right)^\delta(x) \\ &= C(\delta, d) \tilde{P}^\delta(x). \end{aligned}$$

We are now in a position to prove theorem 3.8.

Proof. Suppose v_e is the capacitary measure of $e \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\varphi = P$ is its potential. Then, by lemma 3.9, we have

- (i) $\varphi(x) \geq 1$ quasi-everywhere on e ;
- (ii) $\varphi(x) \leq B = B(d, r)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$;
- (iii) $\text{cap}\{\varphi \geq t\} \leq Ct^{-1}\text{cap}(e)$ for all $t > 0$ with the constant C is independent of e .

Now, it follows from a proposition 3.10 that $\varphi^\delta \in A_1$. Hence, by (17),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)|^2 \varphi^\delta dx \leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(x)|^2 \varphi^\delta dx$$

Applying this together with (i) and (ii), we get

$$\int_e |g(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x)|^2 \varphi^\delta dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(x)|^2 \varphi^\delta dx = C \int_0^B \int_{\varphi \geq t} |h(x)|^2 dx t^{\delta-1} dt$$

By (16) and (iii),

$$\int_{\varphi \geq t} |h(x)|^2 dx \leq C \text{cap}\{\varphi \geq t\} \leq \frac{C}{t} \text{cap}(e)$$

Hence,

$$\int_e |g(x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^B t^{-1} \text{cap}(e) t^{\delta-1} dt = C \text{cap}(e) \int_0^B t^{\delta-2} dt$$

Clearly, for all $0 \leq r < \frac{d}{2}$, we can choose $\delta > 1$ so that $0 < \delta < \frac{d}{d-2r}$. Then

$$\int_0^B t^{\delta-2} dt = \frac{B^{\delta-1}}{\delta-1} < \infty$$

which concludes

$$\int_e |g(x)|^2 dx \leq C \text{cap}(e).$$

References

- [Ad] Adams, D.R., Weighted nonlinear potential theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 297 (1986), 73-94.

- [AH] Adams,D.R., and Hedberg,L.I.: Functions space and potentiel theory, springer-verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New york, 1996.
- [CM] Coifman, R., and Meyer, Y., Au delà des oprateurs pseudo-diffrentiels, Astrisque, 57, 1978.
- [Han] Hansson.K, Imbedding theorems of Sobolev type in potential theory, Math. Scand, 45, (1979), 77-102.
- [Lem] Lemarié-Rieusset, P.G., Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problem, 2002
- [LG] Lemarié-Rieusset, P.G., and Gala.S., Multipliers between Sobolev spaces and fractional differentiation. Preprint, 2005.
- [Maz] Maz'ya,V.G.: Sobolev spaces , springer-verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New york, 1985.
- [MS] Maz'ya, V., Schaposnikova, T., Theory of Multipliers in Spaces of Differentiable Functions, Pitnam, 1985.
- [MV] Maz'ya, V., Verbitsky,I., Capacitary inequalitiers for fractional integrals, with applications to partial differential equations and Sobolev multipliers, Ark. Mat.33:1 (1995), 81-115.
- [M] Miller, N., Weighted Sobolev spaces and pseudo-differential operators with smooth symbols, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 269 (1982), 91-109.
- [St1] Stein, E.M., Singular Intgrals and Differntiability Properties of Functions, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [St2] Stein, E.M., Harmonic Analysis : Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.